

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/771,328	01/26/2001		Menashe Shahar	23600.00901	6745	
58076	7590	11/15/2006		EXAMINER		
REED SMI	•		TSE, YOUNG TOI			
TWO EMBA SUITE 2000		RO CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANC	CISCO, (CA 94111	2611			
				DATE MAIL ED: 11/15/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Π	١ ١.
- //	ال
,	_

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/771,328	SHAHAR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	YOUNG T. TSE	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr . cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Se	eptember 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-28 is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 17-28 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6-9 and 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by th drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 4, 8-9 and 11-16 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 In claim 4, line 6, "downstream_channel" should be "downstream channel".
 In claim 8, line 10, "the second wireless device to the first wireless device"

appears to read "the first wireless device to the second wireless device".

In claim 9, line 2, "regarding parameters" should be "regarding the parameters".

The dependent claims 11-16 are objected to because they are depended upon claim 8.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The configuration of the amended claim 6 does not correspond to the disclosure of drawings of Figure 2 as discussed in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 6 recites a step of receiving at a wireless modem on a downstream channel a message from a wireless hub comprising information regarding parameters for communicating over each of a plurality of upstream channels, the wireless modem already being synchronized with the upstream channel. However, as shown in Figure 2, the wireless hub is indicated as the block 100 and the wireless modem is one of the wireless modems 110, 112 and 114, wherein the downstreams are labeled as 120 and the upstreams are labeled as 13, 132 and 134.

The dependent claim 7 is rejected to because it depends upon claim 6.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 6-9 and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 6 (lines 5-6), claim 8 (line 11), claim 14 (lines 3-4, 5, 6-7 and 9) and claim 15 (line 2), the phrases "the upstream channel", "the selected upstream channel" and "the plurality of upstream channels" all lack antecedent basis.

The dependent claim 7 is rejected to because it depends upon claim 6.

The dependent claims 9, 11-13 and 16 are rejected to because they are depended upon claim 8.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-3, 5 and 17-28 are allowed.
- 7. Claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action.
- 8. Claims 8-9 and 11-16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/771,328

Art Unit: 2611

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 5

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to YOUNG T. TSE whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

YOUNG T. TSE

Primary Examine